



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,311	01/18/2000		James John Casto	1001-0087	9539
22120	7590	07/03/2002			
ZAGORIN (D'BRIEN	& GRAHAM LI	EXAMINER		
401 W 15TH SUITE 870				LEE, EUGENE	
AUSTIN, TX	78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2815	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	——————————————————————————————————————				
	09/484,311	CASTO ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eugene Lee	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .	Anril 2002						
,— ,— ,	nis action is non-fina	al					
·			ne merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13, 15-25, and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13,15-25 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	′=	Other:					

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 2 thru 5, 10, 11, 17, 18, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by MacPherson et al. '437 B1. MacPherson discloses (see, for example, Figure 1) fuses formed for an integrated circuit die in a semiconductor package. Fuse (programmable element) 1 is coupled to a signal line (power supply voltage node). A second fuse (second programmable element) 3 is coupled to a different signal line (second power supply voltage node). A middle fuse has two nodes (internal package node) that couple a second end of fuse 1 to a second end of fuse 3. A photoresist layer 21 covers the fuse.
- 3. Claims 2, 7 thru 9, 11, 12, 15 thru 18, 20 thru 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crafts et al. '968. Crafts discloses (see, for example, FIG.

Art Unit: 2815

3) a fuse array comprising fuse structures 10 wherein a first and second end is coupled to V_{DD} , external I/O terminals or resistors 40. Memory devices (such as PROMs) are conventionally placed in dies in semiconductor packages such as those found on a computer motherboard, etc. In FIG. 3, there are two fuses 10 that lie on a fourth row of the PROM fuse array. Each fuse is coupled to a different V_{DD} power supply. A second end of one of the fuses 10 is coupled to a second end of the other fuse 10 by way of a node (black dot, internal package node).

Page 3

- 4. Claims 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamdy et al. '829. Hamdy discloses (see, for example, FIGURE 5a) anti-fuses formed for a integrated circuit die in a semiconductor package. Anti-fuse (programmable element) 168d is coupled to a bit line (power supply voltage) 00. Anti-fuse (another programmable element) 168h is coupled between a second end of anti-fuse 168d and output (external package connection) 178.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nathan et al. '881. Nathan discloses (see, for example, FIG. 1) a fuse structure comprising fuse 9AA-9EE. The fuses possess a narrow central portion. In column 5, lines 48-56, Nathan disclose fuses and antifuses may be used together. In column 1, lines 33-39, Nathan also discloses the use of fuses and/or anti-fuses may be used in a package for an integrated circuit.
- 6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Best '031. Best discloses (see, for example, FIG. 2) a circuit comprising a fuse (one-time programmable element) 255, V_{SS} (power supply voltage node), fuse (another one-time programmable element) 245, and external pad (external package connection) 260. A node (internal package node) lies adjacent to pad 265 in between pads 245, 255.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/484,311

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacPherson et al. '437 B1. MacPherson discloses the claimed invention except for the programmable element being not covered by a protective layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to exclude the protective layer, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same function as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.
- Olaims 19, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacPherson et al. '437 B1 as applied to claims 2 thru 5, 10 and 11, 17, 18, 22 and 23 above, and further in view of Barth, Jr. et al. '616. MacPherson does not disclose the integrated circuit die including a processor wherein the processor is programmed (to perform various functions) by programmable elements. However, Barth, Jr. discloses (see, for example, column 12, lines 10-34) a semiconductor memory device wherein fuses are programmed to perform an error correction. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to program fuses in order to perform functions within the cell.

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-13, 15-25, and 27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2815

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Eugene Lee June 29, 2002

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800